

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

4347 EXAMINER	
EYAMINED	
EXAMINER	
CONLEY, FREDRICK C	
PAPER NUMBER	
3673	
_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Astion Comments	10/695,250	SNYDER ET AL.	*
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fredrick C Conley	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communi NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	October 2003.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•		its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No sived in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)	·		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai		
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-940)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)	)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a gear box, classified in class 475, subclass 5.
- II. Claims 10-19 & 26-34, drawn to a bed end, classified in class 5, subclass131.
- III. Claims 20-25 & 36-45, drawn to an adjustable bed end, classified in class5, subclass 11.
- IV. Claims 46-51, drawn to an adjustable bed, classified in class 5, subclass611.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the bed end does not require a height adjustment means.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the adjustable mechanism of the

Art Unit: 3673

bed does not require a housing, first and second input shafts, and a cover. The subcombination has separate utility such as a transmission.

Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the bed end does not require a housing, first and second input shafts, and a cover. The subcombination has separate utility such as a transmission.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the bed end does not require a removable cover or at least one drain opening. The subcombination has separate utility such as a medical apparatus.

Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Art Unit: 3673

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the adjustable bed does not require a removable cover or at least one drain opening. The subcombination has separate utility such as a medical apparatus.

Inventions III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the adjustable bed does not require a lead screw rotated by a gear box, said gear box adapted to receive rotational force from an electric motor, and including a slip nut engaged with the lead screw. The subcombination has separate utility such as transmission.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Paul Szabo on 10/27/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/695,250

Art Unit: 3673

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fredrick C Conley whose telephone number is 308-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on m-th m-fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

F

MICHAEL F. TRETTEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 358

Page 5